

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,471	09/10/2003	Johann Moser	VOI0275.US	VOI0275.US 6830	
7	590 12/20/2004		EXAMINER		
Todd T. Taylor			HALPERN	I, MARK	
Taylor & Aust,	P.C.				
142 S. Main St	reet	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 560			1731	<u> </u>	
Avilla, IN 46	710				
			DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004	l .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\bigcap$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	()			
	10/659,471	MOSER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Halpern	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 O	ctober 2004.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allower						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 is/are withdrawn for 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4,5 and 7-9 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6,10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been recei	ation No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not recei-	ved.				
Attachment(s)	Λ [] (a)	n. (DTO 442)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1) Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 10/29/2004. Applicants amend claims 4 and 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2) Claims 1-3, 10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (1,599,376).

Claim 1: Smith discloses two revolving continuous wires including top wire 17 and bottom wire 8, both wires disposed partially in tank 3 containing fibrous stock. The fibrous material enters the tank from container 21 by conduit 22. The wires 8 and 17, are drawn over rolls as disclosed in Figure, are moving in the same direction as shown by arrow 8a, and are converging within the tank to form a gap where the fibrous material enters and is then carried over roll 15 to suction box 6, which serves to remove any liquid which may remain in the fibrous material disposed between said wires. After passing suction producing device 16 the material is fairly dry at point 25 and enters a drying machine (pg. 1, line 45 to pg. 2, line 37 and Figure). The tank 3 acts as a

Art Unit: 1731

headbox. The turning element is roll 15. The suction box 6, suction producing device 16 and roll 20 are the separating element separating the two wires.

Claims 2-3: as shown in the Figure and discussed above, the separation element separating the top wire from the bottom wire is disclosed. The operation of the transfer box under claimed partial vacuum is considered a method limitation and not a structural limitation.

Claim 10: the turning element is roll 15, after which the web is proceeding at zero angle with the horizontal, as shown in the Figure of Smith..

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3) Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith.

  Smith is applied as above for claim 1, Smith is silent on the separation element extending substantially across a width of the fiber. It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the separation element extends substantially across a width of the fiber, because that is good operational practice in that it would assure uniform action on the formed web.
- 4) Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith in view of Odell (5,395,484). Smith is applied as above for claim 10, Smith is silent on the

Application/Control Number: 10/659,471

Art Unit: 1731

downwardly angle of the two revolving continuous wires past the turning element.

Odell, as shown in Figure 5, discloses the turning element at a small downwardly angle from the horizontal. It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the downwardly angle of the two revolving continuous wires of Odell be within the range claimed in order to provide even transfer of the formed web to wire 10. It would have been obvious, to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, to combine the teachings of Smith and Odell, because such a combination would improve web liquid removal in the design of Smith.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5) Claims 4-5, 7-9, are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The primary reason for indication of allowable subject matter is that the cited prior art does not show: a twin wire former wherein a separation element is a transfer suction box of design claimed (claim 4); a twin wire former containing a flat suction box located between a guide roll serving as a turning element and a transfer suction box serving as a separation element (claim 7).

Application/Control Number: 10/659,471 Page 5

Art Unit: 1731

## Response to Amendment

6) Claims 1-3, rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Odell (5,395,484), is withdrawn in view of applicants arguments and further search of art in prior art.

- 7) Claims 6, 10-11, rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Odell, is withdrawn in view of applicants arguments and further search of art in prior art.
- 8) Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6, 10-11, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 9) The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wahren (4,100,018).
- 10) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone number is 571-272-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,471

Art Unit: 1731

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Halpern

Mark Halpern